

REMARKS

In the present communication, no claims have been amended, added, or cancelled. As such, only Claim 93 is currently pending. The Examiner made a number of objections that are responded to below.

I. Inventorship was Amended

Prior to addressing the objections, Applicants note that the inventorship of the present application has been amended in light of the fact that only Claim 93 is pending. Due to the inventorship change, only University of Chicago inventors remain (i.e., no Epicentre inventors remain on this application).

II. Objection to Figures 1 and 2

The Examiner objected to Figures 1 and 2 for not containing sequence identifiers in the Figures or in the Description of the Figures. Applicants have amended the Description of Figures 1 and 2 to include the appropriate sequence identifiers.

III. Continuity Data

The Examiner objected to the specification, indicating that the priority data needs to be updated to reflect the fact that Ser. No. 10/153,219 has issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,452,705. Applicants have made this amendment as shown above.

IV. Updated Sequence Listing

The Examiner indicated that certain sequences in the application were not labeled. Applicants have amended the specification as shown above and have included an updated sequence listing with this communication.

V. Hyperlink Objection

The Examiner objected to the specification, page 57, for containing an embedded hyperlink. As shown above, Applicants have deleted this hyperlink.

VI. Objection Figure 22 Description

The Examiner objected to the description of Figure 22 for not referencing both 22A and 22B. Figures 22A and 22B show a single flow diagram (see arrow on the bottom of Figure 22A). As such, the description of Figure 22 has been amended to recite "Fig. 22A and B."

VII. Trademark Use in the Specification

The Examiner objected to trademark usage in the specification, indicating that trademarks should be capitalized and followed by the generic terminology (Office Action, page 4). Applicants note that under MPEP 608.01(v), trademarks need to either be capitalized or "TM" or "®" needs to be indicated after the word. Except for "Texas Red," the specification uses "TM" or "®" after each tradename (or capitalizes tradenames). As noted above, the Specification has been amended by capitalizing "TEXAS RED." As such, Applicants submit that this objection should be withdrawn.

VIII. Double Patenting Rejections

The Examiner has rejected the claims under non-statutory double patenting over U.S. Pat. 7,452,705, and provisionally over co-pending application 10/719,372. Applicants have included two terminal disclaimers with this communication that overcome these rejections.

CONCLUSION

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone interview would aid in the prosecution of this application, Applicants encourage the Examiner to call the undersigned at 608-662-1277.

Dated: March 10, 2010

/ Jason R. Bond /
Jason R. Bond
Registration No. 45,439

CASIMIR JONES S.C.
2275 Deming Way
Suite 310
Middleton, WI 53562
608.662.1277